Overview
This paper investigates two new factor scores for the ImPACT Neurocognitive test battery and demonstrates the reliability and validity of the test for middle school, high school and collegiate athletes. The two-factor structure yields improved test-retest reliability with no loss of sensitivity/specificity and improves the interpretability of test results.
Reliability of the Two-Factor Model:
Once the initial factor analyses were completed, the model examined test-retest reliability in one-month, one-year and two-year samples. Test-retest reliability scores were high for all three samples, ranging from .76 to .81 for Memory and .76 to .88 for the Speed factor. This research demonstrated that combining the results of the composite scores into Memory and Speed factors actually improved reliability over prior studies that have investigated the reliability of ImPACT.

Sensitivity and Specificity of the Two-Factor Model:
In addition to evaluating the reliability of the new Speed and Memory scores, this study also evaluated the ability to correctly classify concussed athletes statistically using the factors (sensitivity) and the ability of the factors to correctly classify non-concussed athletes (specificity). The sensitivity of the two factor model was 89 percent. In other words, based on statistical analyses only, the two factors were able to correctly classify 89 percent of the concussed sample. The specificity was 70 percent. It should be kept in mind that these numbers reflect statistically based decisions only and not decisions made by trained health care providers, which would clearly result in even higher accuracy.

Implications of Findings
The evaluation of recovery from concussion can be difficult under the best of circumstances. It is well-known that athletes are often not able or willing to accurately report symptoms after concussion and may actually hide symptoms from coaches, parents and health care providers. In addition, the interpretation of cognitive performance can be challenging. An athlete may have specific deficits in a specific area of functioning while performing normally in other areas of functioning. The development of the two-factor model provides an

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Composite</th>
<th>Baseline Sample*</th>
<th>Concussion Sample**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Factor 1</td>
<td>Factor 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verbal Memory</td>
<td>.120</td>
<td>.824</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual Memory</td>
<td>.143</td>
<td>.808</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual Motor Speed</td>
<td>.831</td>
<td>.231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reaction Time</td>
<td>-.887</td>
<td>-.062</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Baseline sample: N= 21,357
** Concussion sample: N=560
additional and valid/reliable way of evaluating neurocognitive performance. It is the belief of the authors that the addition of these Speed and Memory scores will “improve the understanding and communicability of baseline and post-concussion test results for clinicians, athletes, sports medicine professional, parents and coaches.”
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ImPACT Commitment to Ongoing Research
ImPACT encourages independent, academically based research and is proud to present the listing below of peer-reviewed papers that were published in 2013. In the coming year, ImPACT will continue to encourage research regarding the ImPACT program and on concussion management in general.